

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 09/837,610 04/19/2001 Andreas Schuhbaeck 951/49710 8053 7590 08/21/2003 CROWELL & MORING LLP **EXAMINER** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP NGUYEN, KHIEM M P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C(11,400	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	l	SCHUHS AEC	K
	Kan	14-2/	SCHUHBAEC Group Art Unit 2839	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet be	neath the c	orrespondence addr	ess —
P riod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the statutory mini efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro y statute, cause the application to	mum of thirty m the mailing b become ABA	(30) days will be considered date of this communication (NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13)	ed timely. on. 3).
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	124103			<u></u> .
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			to the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s)       1 − 2 5         Of the above claim(s)       13 − 1 4		is/are	pending in the applica	tion.
				deration.
□ Claim(s)	7000	is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s)	5	is/are	allowed. rejected.	
□ Claim(s)	5	is/are is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to.	
□ Claim(s)	.5	is/are is/are are su	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e	election
	.5	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
	is □ approved	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
	is □ approved	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
Claim(s)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
Claim(s)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
Claim(s)	is   approved bjected to by the Examiner of.	is/are is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r.	is/are is/are is/are are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or e ement	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner or. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) en received.	is/are is/are is/are are su require disapprov	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the interceived. It is approved to by the Examiner of the interceived.	is/are is/are is/are are su require disapprov	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner or.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) en received.  en received in Application Note that have been received.	is/are is/are is/are is/are su required isapprov	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Pplication Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are o  The drawing(s) filed on is/are o  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have be  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the image of th	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su require is/are is/ar	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of the image of th	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are su require is/are is/ar	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) en received. en received in Application Noments have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are is/are is/are are su required isapproved.	rejected. object to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is approved bjected to by the Examiner of.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) en received. en received in Application Noments have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are is/are is/are are su required isapproved.	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction or element red.	election
Claim(s)	is pproved bjected to by the Examiner of the first structure of the	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are surequire is/are surequire is/approved.	rejected. object to restriction or element red.	

Art Unit:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further considerations of applicant's remarks to the restriction requirements, claims 15-25 drawn to a method of coupling optical ferrules will be examined along with the elected article claims. However, claims 13-14 drawn to a process for producing a belt having optical ferrules are still under restriction requirements (see previous office action).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (APA) in view of Hornung (5,954,539) and Sonoda (4,466,692).

The APA optical ferrules discussed in the background of the instant invention lack the claimed arrangement of several plastic ferrules with at least one connection section, wherein at least two ferrules are connected with one another by the at least one connection section, and wherein a plurality of mutually connected ferrules form a belt.

Art Unit:

Hornung discloses plastic ferrules connected by together to form a belt (see figure 12). Sonoda discloses interconnected ferrules forming a belt (see figures 11-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skilled in the art to provide or construct the APA optical ferrules with at least one connection section, wherein at least two ferrules are connected with one another by the at least one connection section, and wherein a plurality of mutually connected ferrules form a belt in view of the teachings of Hornung and Sonoda.

The above belt feature would allow for the handling and manufacturing of multiple optical ferrules simultaneously as is well known in the manufacturing art. Regarding the specific shape or construction of the belt arrangement are deemed obvious design varriations and that it would also have been obvious to form the belt into specific shape based on the selection of various molds.

Art Unit:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738.

Khiem Nguyen KHIEM NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

K.N.

August 11, 2003